| | l l | | | |
|---|-----|--|--|--|
| | 1 | BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General | | |
| | 2 | of the State of California ARTHUR D. TAGGART | | |
| | 3 | Supervising Deputy Attorney General LESLIE A. BURGERMYER, State Bar No. 117576 | | |
| | 4 | Deputy Attorney General California Department of Justice | | |
| | 5 | 1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255 | | |
| | 6 | Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 324-5337 | | |
| | 7 | Facsimile: (916) 327-8643 | · | |
| | 8 | Attorneys for Complainant | | |
| | | | ינצני | |
| | 9 | BEFORE THE CALIFORNIA BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY | | |
| DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA | | | | |
| | 11 | | | |
| | 12 | In the Matter of the Accusation Against: | Case No. AC-2007-3 | |
| | 13 | RONAELE LYNNE RUVALCABA a.k.a. RONAELE LYNNE JOHNSON | DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER | |
| | 14 | | [Gov. Code, §11520] | |
| | 15 | 3408 Zalema Way Sacramento, CA 95834 | | |
| | 16 | Certified Public Accountant Certificate | | |
| | 17 | No. CPA 68780 | | |
| | 18 | Respondent. | | |
| 19 FINDINGS OF FACT | | | FFACT | |
| | 20 | 1. On or about October 20, 2006 | , Complainant Carol Sigmann | |
| 21 ("Complainant"), in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Acc | | | · | |
| | 22 | ("Board"), filed Accusation No. AC-2007-3 against | | |
| | 23 | Lynne Johnson ("Respondent") before the Board. | • | |
| | 24 | 2. On or about May 12, 1995, th | e Board issued Certified Public Accountant | |
| | 25 | | | |
| | 26 | been renewed. | | |
| | 27 | 3. On or about November 8, 200 | 06, Catheleen Logan, an employee of the | |
| | 28 | Department of Justice ("DOJ"), served by Certified | Mail (Article No. 7003 1680 0002 3841 | |
| | | II . | · | |

6256) and First Class Mail, copies of Accusation No. AC-2007-3, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for Discovery, and Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7 (hereinafter "Accusation and Related Documents"), to Respondent. Respondent's address of record with the Board was and is 3408 Zalema Way, Sacramento, California, 95834 ("Zalema Way"). However, it was unknown at the time that the Proof of Service and the Certified Mail (Article No. 7003 1680 0002 3841 6256) and First Class Mail envelopes were inadvertently typed as Zalerna Way instead of Zalema Way. (True and correct copies of the Accusation and Related Documents and Proof of Service dated November 8, 2006, are attached hereto, collectively marked as Exhibit A, and incorporated herein by this reference.)

- 4. On or about November 8, 1006, Ms. Logan also served copies of the Accusation and Related Documents on Respondent by Certified Mail (Article No. 7003 1680 0002 3851 6263) and addressed to the alternate address of 1070 Jean Avenue, Sacramento, California, 95838-3523 ("Jean Avenue"). During the Board's investigation of this matter, the Jean Avenue address was obtained as a potential address for Respondent. (See Exhibit A.)
- 5. On or before November 13, 2006, the typographical error, i.e., Zalerna Way instead of Zalema Way, was discovered. On November 13, 2006, Ms. Logan re-served the Accusation and Related Documents on Respondent by Certified Mail (Article No. 7006 0810 0005 1456 0801) and First Class Mail at Respondent's correct address of record, Zalema Way, and at the alternate address of Jean Avenue by Certified Mail (Article No. 7006 0810 0005 1456 0818). (True and correct copies of the Accusation and Related Documents and Declaration of Service dated November 13, 2006, are attached hereto, collectively marked as Exhibit B, and incorporated herein by this reference.)
- 6. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c).
- 7. On or about an unknown date in November 2006, the Accusation and Related Documents served on Respondent and addressed to the alternate address of Jean Avenue and mailed by Certified Mail (Article No. 7003 1680 0002 3841 6263) on November 8, 2006, were returned to the Attorney General's Office ("AGO"). The envelope was received by the

· 15

AGO with a large black "x" mark over the address. The envelope was stamped and marked "ATTEMPTED, NOT KNOWN" along with an illegible handwritten notation. (A true and correct copy of the envelope is attached hereto, marked as Exhibit C, and incorporated herein by this reference.) The green, Certified Mail (Article No. 7003 1680 0002 3841 6263) card was not attached to Exhibit C, but was separately returned to the AGO, indicating a signature of a "Vincent Hammond" and a stamped date of "Nov 22 2006." (See the last page of Exhibit A, hereto.)

- 8. On or about November 20, 2006, the Accusation and Related Documents served on Respondent and addressed to the alternate address of Jean Avenue and mailed by First Class Mail on November 13, 2006, were returned to the AGO. The envelope was received by the AGO with a large black "x" mark over the address. The envelope was stamped and marked "ATTEMPTED, NOT KNOWN" and bears one (1) yellow sticker attached thereto and stamped "RETURN TO SENDER, ATTEMPTED/NOT KNOWN," and an illegible notation. (A true and correct copy of the envelope is attached hereto, marked as Exhibit D, and incorporated herein by this reference.)
- 9. On or about November 21, 2006, the Accusation and Related Documents served on Respondent and addressed to the alternate address of Jean Avenue and mailed by Certified Mail (Article No. 7006 0810 0005 1456 08180) on November 13, 2006, were returned to the AGO. The envelope was received by the AGO with a large black "x" mark over the address. The envelope is stamped and marked "ATTEMPTED, NOT KNOWN" and bears one (1) yellow sticker attached thereto and stamped "RETURN TO SENDER" and "ATTEMPTED/NOT KNOWN" and an illegible notation. The green, Certified Mail (Article No. 7006 0810 0005 1456 0818) card was attached to the return envelope, was unsigned, and bears one (1) yellow sticker stamped "RETURN TO SENDER" and "ATTEMPTED/NOT KNOWN." (True and correct copies of the front and back of the envelope are attached hereto, collectively marked as Exhibit E, and incorporated herein by this reference.)
- 10. On or about November 24, 2006, the Accusation and Related Documents served on Respondent and addressed incorrectly to Zalerna Way and mailed by Certified Mail

(Article No. 7003 1680 0002 3841 6256) on November 8, 2006, were returned to the AGO. The envelope is stamped and marked "1ST NOTICE NOV 09 2006," "RETURN NOV 24 2006" and "RETURN NOV 14, 2006," and bears one (1) yellow sticker attached thereto and stamped "RETURN TO SENDER" and "REFUSED/UNCLAIMED." The green, Certified Mail (Article No. 7003 1680 0002 3841 6256) card is attached to the envelope, and is unsigned and undated. (True and correct copies of the front and back of the envelope are attached hereto, collectively marked as Exhibit F, and are incorporated herein by this reference.)

- On or about November 24, 2006, the Accusation and Related Documents served on Respondent and addressed incorrectly to Zalerna Way and mailed by First Class Mail on November 8, 2006, were returned to the AGO. The envelope is stamped and marked "1ST NOTICE NOV 09 2006," "2ND NOTICE NOV 14 2006," and "RETURN NOV 24 2006" and bears one (1) yellow sticker attached thereto and stamped "RETURN TO SENDER" and "REFUSED/UNCLAIMED." (A true and correct copy of the envelope is attached hereto, marked as Exhibit G, and incorporated herein by this reference.)
- on or about December 4, 2006, the Accusation and Related Documents served on Respondent on November 13, 2006, addressed correctly to Zalema Way and mailed by First Class Mail, were returned to the AGO. The envelope bears two (2) yellow stickers attached thereto. One sticker is stamped "RUVA408 958343413" IN 06 11/16/06, UNABLE TO FORWARD," "NO FORWARD ORDER ON FILE," and "RETURN TO POSTMASTER OF ADDRESSEE FOR REVIEW." The second sticker is stamped "RETURN TO SENDER" and "UNABLE TO FORWARD." The handwritten notation, "F," is on the envelope. (A true and correct copy of the envelope is attached hereto, collectively marked as Exhibit H, and incorporated herein by this reference.)
- On or about December 12, 2006, the Accusation and Related Documents served on Respondent and addressed correctly to Zalema Way and mailed by Certified Mail (Article No. 7006 0810 0005 1456 0801) on November 13, 2006, were returned to the AGO. The envelope contains four (4) yellow stickers attached thereto as follows: (1) "RUVA408 958342165 1N 37 11/15/06," "UNABLE TO FORWARD," "NO FORWARD ORDER ON

Λ

__

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

- 1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Ronaele Lynne Ruvalcaba a.k.a. Ronaele Lynne Johnson has subjected her Certified Public Accountant Certificate No. CPA 68780 to discipline.
- 2. A copy of the Accusation and the related documents and Declaration of Service are attached hereto. (See Exhibits A and B.)
 - 3. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.
- 4. The Board is authorized to revoke Respondent's Certified Public Accountant Certificate No. CPA 68780 based upon the following violations alleged in the Accusation:
- a. Conviction of the crimes of conspiracy to commit a crime, theft, grand theft, and false advertising, all of which crimes are substantially related to the functions, duties, and qualifications of a Certified Public Accountant within the meaning of Business & Professions Code ("Code") sections 490 and 5100, subdivision (a);
- b. Conviction of the crimes of theft and grand theft within the meaning of Code section 5100, subdivision (k); and
- c. Failure to report, within thirty (30) days of Respondent's knowledge of the conviction of crimes involving conspiracy to commit a crime, the ft, grand the ft, and false advertising, all of which are crimes related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a Certified Public Accountant within the meaning of Code sections 5063, subdivisions (a)(1)(B) and (a)(1)(C).

ORDER

IT IS SO ORDERED that Certified Public Accountant Certificate No. CPA 68780, heretofore issued to Respondent Ronaele Lynne Ruvalcaba a.k.a. Ronaele Lynne Johnson, is revoked.

Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion

| 1 | may vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the |
|--|---|
| 2 | statute. |
| 3 | This Decision shall become effective on February 25, 2007 |
| 4 | It is so ORDEREDJanuary 26, 2007 |
| 5 | |
| 6 | FOR THE CALIFORNIA BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY |
| 7 | DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | 10302430.wpd |
| 11 | Attachments: |
| 12 | Exhibit A: Accusation No.AC-2007-3, Related Documents, and Declaration of Service for service on 11/8/06 addressed to Zalerna Way and Jean Avenue |
| 13 | Exhibit B: Accusation No. AC-2007-3, Related Documents, and Declaration of Service for |
| 14 | service on 11/13/06 addressed to Zalema Way and Jean Avenue |
| 15 | Exhibit C: Certified Mail (Article No. 7003 1680 0002 3841 6263) Returned Envelope for service dated 11/8/06 addressed to Jean Avenue |
| 16 | Exhibit D: First Class Mail Returned Envelope for service on 11/13/06 addressed to Jean Avenue |
| 17 18 | Exhibit E: Certified Mail (Article No. 7006 0810 0005 1456 0818) Returned Envelope for service on 11/13/06 addressed to Jean Avenue |
| 19 | |
| 20 | service on 11/8/06 addressed to Zalerna Way |
| 21 Exhibit G: First Class Mail Returned Envelope for service on 11/8/06 addressed to 2 | Exhibit G: First Class Mail Returned Envelope for service on 11/8/06 addressed to Zalerna Way |
| 22 | Exhibit H: First Class Mail Returned Envelope for service on 11/13/06 addressed o Zalema Way |
| 23 | Exhibit I: Certified Mail (Article No. 7006 0810 0005 1456 0801) Returned Envelope for |
| 24 | service on 11/13/06 addressed to Zalema Way |
| 25 | Exhibit J: Certification of Costs: Declaration of Deputy Attorney General Leslie A Burgermyer and Exhibits thereto |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |

Exhibit A

Accusation No.AC-2007-3, Related Documents, and Declaration of Service for service on 11/8/06 a ddressed to Zalerna Way and Jean Avenue

JURISDICTION This Accusation is brought before the Board under the following sections 3. of the Business & Professions Code ("Code"): Code section 5109 provides, among other things, that the expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, suspension, or voluntary surrender of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with a disciplinary action against the licensee, or to render a decision in suspending or revoking the license. Code section 490 of the Code states, in relevant part: 5. "A board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime, if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued. A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action which a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code." Code section 5063 provides, in relevant part, the following: 6. "(a) A licensee shall report to the board in writing of the occurrence of any of the following events occurring on or after January 1, 1997, within 30 days of the date the licensee has knowledge of these "(1) The conviction of the licensee of any of the following: 20 "(B) Any crime related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a 22 public accountant or certified public accountant, or to acts or activities in the course and scope of the practice of public 23 accountancy. 24 "(C) Any crime involving theft, embezzlement, misappropriation of funds or property, 25 "As used in this section, a conviction includes the initial plea, 26 verdict, or finding of guilt, pleas of no contest or pronouncement of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

.17

18

19

21

27

28

or sentence actually imposed until appeals are exhausted."

sentence by a trial court even though that conviction may not be final

| 1 | 7. Code section 5100 provides, in pertinent part: | | |
|----|---|--|--|
| 2 | "After notice and hearing, the Board may revoke, suspend, or refuse to renew any permit or certificate granted under Article 4 | | |
| 3 | (commencing with Section 5070) and Article 5 (commencing with Section 5080) or may censure the holder of that permit or certificate | | |
| 4 | for unprofessional conduct that includes, but is not limited to, one or any combination of the following causes: | | |
| 5 | "(a) Conviction of any crime substantially related to the | | |
| 6 | qualifications, functions and duties of a certified public accountant or a public accountant. | | |
| 7 | | | |
| 8 | (k) Embezzlement, theft, misappropriation of funds or property, or | | |
| 9 | obtaining money, property, or other valuable consideration by fraudulent means or false pretenses." | | |
| 10 | COCT DECOVEDY | | |
| 11 | COST RECOVERY | | |
| 12 | 8. Code section 5107 provides for recovery by the Board of all reasonable | | |
| 13 | costs of investigation and prosecution in a disciplinary action in which the holder of the | | |
| 14 | certificate is found guilty of unprofessional conduct in violation of, inter alia, subdivisions (a) | | |
| 15 | and (k) of Code section 5100. | | |
| 16 | FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE | | |
| 17 | (Conviction of a Crime) | | |
| 18 | 9. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 5100, | | |
| 19 | subdivision (a), in that on or about March 21, 2005, in the Superior Court of California, County | | |
| 20 | of San Diego, in the case entitled The People of the State of California v. Roddy Ray Ruvalcaba, | | |
| 21 | individually and doing business as Pacific Beach Portraits and Serafina Bridal; and Ronaele L. | | |
| 22 | Ruvalcaba, individually and doing business as Pacific Beach Portraits and Serafina Bridal, Case | | |
| 23 | No. M886676CF-02 [WN3102 (Ronaele L. Ruvalcaba)] ("Case No. M886676CF-02/WN3102"), | | |
| 24 | Respondent was convicted on a plea of guilty for violating Penal Code section 182, subdivision | | |
| 25 | (a)(1) [conspiracy to commit a crime], section 484 [theft], and section 487, subdivision (a) [grand | | |
| 26 | theft; property taken exceeds \$400.00], and violation of Code section 17500 [false advertising], | | |
| 27 | N 111 | | |

June 1 and November 13, 2002, Respondent committed a misdemeanor by unlawfully taking money from S.A. in violation of Penal Code section 484; (b) on or about April 8, 2002, Respondent committed a misdemeanor by conspiring with another to violate Code section 17500 as to D.F.; (c) on or between August 3 and October 28, 2002, Respondent committed a misdemeanor by unlawfully taking money from D.F. in a value in excess of \$400.00, in violation of Penal Code section 487, subdivision (a); and (d) on or about March 3, 2002, Respondent committed a misdemeanor by making a false advertisement as to L.K. in violation of Code section 17500.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Conviction of the Crime of Theft)

- 11. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 5100, subdivision (k), in that on or about March 21, 2005, in the Superior Court of California, County of San Diego, in Case No. M886676CF-02/WN3102, Respondent was convicted on a plea of guilty of violating Penal Code section 484 [theft] and section 487, subdivision (a), [grand theft; property taken exceeds \$400.00], both of which are misdemeanors. Such crimes are substantially related to the functions, duties, and qualifications of a Certified Public Accountant within the meaning of Code sections 490 and 5100, subdivision (a).
- 12. The circumstances surrounding Respondent's conviction of the crime of theft are that on or between June 1 and November 13, 2002, Respondent committed a misdemeanor by unlawfully taking money from S.A. in violation of Penal Code section 484.

25 ///

1

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

6

7 8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

///

13. The circumstances surrounding Respondent's conviction of the crime of grand theft are that on or between August 3 and October 28, 2002, Respondent committed a misdemeanor by unlawfully taking money from D.F. in a value in excess of \$400.00, in violation of Penal Code section 487, subdivision (a).

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Report Conviction of Crime)

14. Respondent's license is subject to discipline under Code section 5063. subdivisions (a)(1)(B) and (a)(1)(C), in that on or about March 21, 2005, Respondent was convicted in the Superior Court, County of San Diego, in Case No. M886676CF-02/WN3102, on a plea of guilty of violating Penal Code section 182, subdivision (a) (1) [conspiracy to commit a crime], section 484 [theft], and section 487, subdivision (a) [grand theft; property taken exceeds \$400.00], and violation of Code section 17500 [false advertising], all of which are misdemeanors. The crimes are related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a certified public accountant within the meaning of Code section 5063, subdivision (a)(1)(B) and are crimes of theft within the meaning of Code section 5063, subdivision (a)(1)(C). Respondent failed to report the conviction in writing and within thirty (30) days of the date of her knowledge of the conviction.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that the hearing be held on the matters herein alleged and that following the hearing the California Board of Accountancy issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking, suspending, or otherwise imposing discipline on Certified Public Accountant Certificate No. CPA 68780, issued to Ronaele Lynne Ruvalcaba a.k.a. Ronaele Lynne Johnson;
- 2. Ordering Ronaele Lynne Ruvalcaba a.k.a. Ronaele Lynne Johnson to pay the California Board of Accountancy the reasonable costs of investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business & Professions Code, section 5107; and

| 1 | 3. Taking such other and further action as the California Board of |
|----------|--|
| 2 | Accountancy deems proper. |
| 3 | |
| 4 | DATED: <u>October 20, 2006</u> |
| 5 | |
| 6 | (orelsizmenn |
| 7 | CAROL SIGMANN Executive Officer |
| 8 | California Board of Accountancy Department of Consumer Affairs State of California |
| 9 | State of California Complainant |
| 10 | |
| 11 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | · · |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |